

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Sex 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,309	01/02/2002	Katsumi Suzuki	WATK:223	8230
75	590 08/04/2003			
Parkhurst & Wendel			EXAMINER	
Suite 210 1421 Prince Str			YEE, DEBORAH	
Alexandria, VA	ria, VA 22314-2805		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	15
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003	()

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			_7)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4			
	10/009,309	SUZUKI ET AL.	1			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Deborah Yee	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	'ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a BANDONE, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	<u>lune 2003</u> .	•				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.		•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			merits is			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	l.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		٠.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 December 2001</u> is/ar	re: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer.	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	i priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(8	a)-(a) or (t).				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	have been received					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	• •	<u> </u>				
application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		aye			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 	* *					
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-				

Application/Control Number: 10/009,309

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 to 10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth-in-section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 to 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oguri et al (US Patent 4,572,751) or Ishihara (Japanese patent 364000245).

The abstract of Oguri or JP'245 discloses a non-austemper-treated spheroidal graphite cast iron alloy having a composition with constituents whose wt% ranges overlap those recited by the claims; such overlap renders applicant's composition prima facie obvious despite differences in non-overlapping areas, see In re Malagari, 182USPQ549 and MPEP 2144.05.

More specifically note example 1 on lines 5 to 15 of column 4 in Oguri, and example 3 in table 1 on page 273 of JP '245, which meet the claimed composition. Even though Oguri alloy additionally contain Bi, such would not appear to affect the basic and novel characteristics of the present invention and therefore would not be excluded from the claimed composition which recites "consists essentially".

Art Unit: 1742

Moreover, the prior art elongation and tensile strength values are within or closely within those recited by the claims. See JP'245, Tables 2 to 6 on page 275; and Oguri, lines 65 to 67, column 4 and lines 1 to 5 of column 5.

Even though the prior art does not disclose the properties recited in claims 2 and 4 to 10, such would be expected in prior art alloys since compositional limitations are met, and in absence of proof to the contrary. See MPEP 2112.01 and In re Best, 195USPQ430.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,309 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 703-308-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-873-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

dy July 24, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER